

MR4455957 62M10 62G20

Geng, Pei (1-ILS)

Estimation of functional-coefficient autoregressive models with measurement error. (English summary)

J. Multivariate Anal. **192** (2022), Paper No. 105077, 16 pp.

Consider the time series $\{Y_t, t = 1, \dots, n\}$ generated by the p -th order functional-coefficient autoregressive (FAR) model

$$Y_t = \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j(U_t) Y_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t.$$

It is often the case that time series data can be observed with measurement error. In light of this, this article is concerned with estimation of the FAR model when the true time series $\{Y_t, t = 1, \dots, n\}$ is unobservable but the surrogate time series $\{Z_t, t = 1, \dots, n\}$ is observable. It is assumed that the relation between Y_t and Z_t can be expressed as the classical measurement error model

$$Z_t = Y_t + e_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, n,$$

where the measurement error e_t is independent of Y_t , while the covariate U_t that determines the coefficients $\alpha_1(\cdot), \dots, \alpha_p(\cdot)$ is assumed to be free of measurement error.

The estimation theory for the $\alpha_j(\cdot)$ can be viewed as a FAR analog to those demonstrated by J. Staudenmayer and J. P. Buonaccorsi [*J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **100** (2005), no. 471, 841–852; MR2201013] for AR(p) models in the presence of measurement error. Local linear regression smoothing is adopted as the basic estimation strategy for the vector of functional coefficients $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 = (\alpha_1(u_0), \dots, \alpha_p(u_0))^\top$ at a design point u_0 . However, naive local linear estimation using the mismeasured Z_t in place of Y_t is inconsistent, due to the non-vanishing bias term that depends on the measurement error variance $\sigma_e^2 := \mathbb{E}(e_t^2)$, as in [op. cit.].

As long as σ_e^2 is known, the bias term is consistently estimable and thus a consistent, bias-corrected estimator for $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0$ can be obtained. The bias-corrected estimator $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^b = \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(u_0)$ takes the form

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}^b = \mathbf{H} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{D}_u^Z)^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{D}_u^Z - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \right\}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{D}_u^Z)^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Z},$$

where $\mathbf{H} := [\mathbf{I}_p, \mathbf{0}_{p \times p}]$, $\mathbf{W} := \text{diag}\{K_h(U_1 - u_0), \dots, K_h(U_n - u_0)\}$, $K_h(\cdot) := K(\cdot/h)/h$ for a kernel function $K(\cdot)$ and a bandwidth h , $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_t := (Z_{t-1}, \dots, Z_{t-p})^\top$, $\mathbf{Z} := (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)^\top$,

$$\mathbf{D}_u^Z := \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1^\top & \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1^\top (U_1 - u_0)/h \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_n^\top & \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_n^\top (U_n - u_0)/h \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega} := \sigma_e^2 \mathbf{I}_p \otimes \hat{f}_U(u_0) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mu_1 \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$\hat{f}_U(u_0) := (1/n) \sum_{t=1}^n K_w(U_t - u_0)$ is a kernel density estimator for the stationary distribution of U_t using yet another bandwidth w , and $\mu_k := \int u^k K(u) du$. Notice that the term $(1/n) (\mathbf{D}_u^Z)^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{D}_u^Z - \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ may be nonpositive definite in finite samples. Because $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^b$ is not well-defined in this case, a finite sample correction of the term is also considered.

Asymptotic normality and weak uniform consistency with a rate of the estimator

$\hat{\mathbf{a}}^b$ are established under some regularity conditions, including strict stationarity and strong mixing of (U_t, \mathbf{X}_t, Y_t) for $\mathbf{X}_t = (Y_{t-1}, \dots, Y_{t-p})^\top$. A consistent estimator of the regression error variance σ_ε^2 is also proposed. *Masayuki Hirukawa*

References

1. S.B. Aruoba, F.X. Diebold, J. Nalewaik, F. Schorfheide, D. Song, Improving GDP measurement: A measurement-error perspective, *J. Econometrics* 191 (2) (2016) 384–397. [MR3463324](#)
2. R. Ashley, D. Vaughan, Measuring measurement error in economic time series, *J. Bus. Econom. Statist.* 4 (1) (1986) 95–103.
3. J.P. Buonaccorsi, *Measurement Error: Models, Methods, and Applications*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2010. [MR2682774](#)
4. J.P. Buonaccorsi, J. Staudenmayer, Statistical methods to correct for observation error in a density-independent population model, *Ecol. Monograph* 79 (2) (2009) 299–324.
5. Z. Cai, J. Fan, R. Li, Efficient estimation and inferences for varying-coefficient models, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 95 (451) (2000) 888–902. [MR1804446](#)
6. Z. Cai, J. Fan, Q. Yao, Functional-coefficient regression models for nonlinear time series, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 95 (451) (2000) 941–956. [MR1804449](#)
7. R. Chen, R.S. Tsay, Functional-coefficient autoregressive models, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 88 (421) (1993) 298–308. [MR1212492](#)
8. J. Dedecker, A. Samson, M.-L. Taupin, Estimation in autoregressive model with measurement error, *ESAIM Probab. Stat.* 18 (2014) 277–307. [MR3333991](#)
9. H. Dong, T. Otsu, L. Taylor, Estimation of varying coefficient models with measurement error, *J. Econometrics* (2021). [MR4466730](#)
10. J. Fan, W. Zhang, Statistical estimation in varying coefficient models, *Ann. Statist.* 27 (5) (1999) 1491–1518. [MR1742497](#)
11. R.S. Gibson, U.R. Charrondiere, W. Bell, Measurement errors in dietary assessment using self-reported 24-hour recalls in low-income countries and strategies for their prevention, *Adv. Nutr.* 8 (6) (2017) 980–991.
12. G.T. Goldman, J.A. Mulholland, A.G. Russell, M.J. Strickland, M. Klein, L.A. Waller, P.E. Tolbert, Impact of exposure measurement error in air pollution epidemiology: effect of error type in time-series studies, *Environ. Health* 10 (1) (2011) 1–11.
13. T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani, Varying-coefficient models, *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol.* 55 (4) (1993) 757–779. [MR1229881](#)
14. J.Z. Huang, H. Shen, Functional coefficient regression models for non-linear time series: a polynomial spline approach, *Scand. J. Stat.* 31 (4) (2004) 515–534. [MR2101537](#)
15. Y.K. Lee, E. Mammen, B.U. Park, Flexible generalized varying coefficient regression models, *Ann. Statist.* 40 (3) (2012) 1906–1933. [MR3015048](#)
16. L. Li, T. Greene, Varying coefficients model with measurement error, *Biometrics* 64 (2) (2008) 519–526. [MR2432422](#)
17. O. Morgenstern, *On the Accuracy of Economic Observations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1963.
18. J. Staudenmayer, J.P. Buonaccorsi, Measurement error in linear autoregressive models, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 100 (471) (2005) 841–852. [MR2201013](#)
19. H. Wang, Y. Xia, Shrinkage estimation of the varying coefficient model, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 104 (486) (2009) 747–757. [MR2541592](#)
20. D. Wied, R. Weißbach, Consistency of the kernel density estimator: a survey, *Statist.*

- Papers 53 (1) (2012) 1–21. [MR2878587](#)
21. Y. Xia, W.K. Li, On the estimation and testing of functional-coefficient linear models, *Statist. Sinica* (1999) 735–757. [MR1711643](#)
 22. L. Xue, L. Yang, Additive coefficient modeling via polynomial spline, *Statist. Sinica* (2006) 1423–1446. [MR2327498](#)
 23. J. You, Y. Zhou, G. Chen, Corrected local polynomial estimation in varying-coefficient models with measurement errors, *Canad. J. Statist.* 34 (3) (2006) 391–410. [MR2328551](#)

Note: This list reflects references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible with no attempt to correct errors.